

## 8. Highest weight modules and Verma modules

**8.1.  $\mathfrak{g}$ -modules with a weight decomposition.** Let us recall basic results on highest weight modules and Verma modules for a complex semisimple Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}$ .

Let  $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{n}_- \oplus \mathfrak{h} \oplus \mathfrak{n}_+$  be a triangular decomposition and  $\lambda \in \mathfrak{h}^*$  be a weight. We have  $\mathfrak{n}_\pm = \bigoplus_{\alpha \in R_\pm} \mathfrak{g}_\alpha$ , where  $R_\pm$  are the sets of positive and negative roots. Let  $Q \subset \mathfrak{h}^*$  be the root lattice of  $\mathfrak{g}$  spanned by its roots. Let  $e_i, f_i, h_i, i = 1, \dots, r$  be the Chevalley generators of  $\mathfrak{g}$ . Let  $P \subset \mathfrak{h}^*$  be the weight lattice, consisting of  $\lambda \in \mathfrak{h}^*$  with  $\lambda(h_i) \in \mathbb{Z}$  for all  $i$  and  $P_+ \subset P$  be the set of dominant integral weights, defined by the condition  $\lambda(h_i) \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$  for all  $i$ . Finally, let  $Q_+ \subset Q$  be the set of sums of positive roots.

**Definition 8.1.** Let  $V$  a representation of  $\mathfrak{g}$  (possibly infinite dimensional). Then a vector  $v \in V$  is said to have **weight**  $\lambda$  if  $hv = \lambda(h)v$  for all  $h \in \mathfrak{h}$ . The subspace of such vectors is denoted by  $V[\lambda]$ . If  $V[\lambda] \neq 0$ , we say that  $\lambda$  is a weight of  $V$ , and the set of weights of  $V$  is denoted by  $P(V)$ .

It is easy to see that  $\mathfrak{g}_\alpha V[\lambda] \subset V[\lambda + \alpha]$ .

Let  $V' \subset V$  be the span of all weight vectors in  $V$ . Then it is clear that  $V' = \bigoplus_{\lambda \in \mathfrak{h}^*} V[\lambda]$ .

**Definition 8.2.** We say that  $V$  **has a weight decomposition** (with respect to a Cartan subalgebra  $\mathfrak{h} \subset \mathfrak{g}$ ), or is  **$\mathfrak{h}$ -semisimple** if  $V' = V$ , i.e., if  $V = \bigoplus_{\lambda \in \mathfrak{h}^*} V[\lambda]$ .

Note that not every representation of  $\mathfrak{g}$  has a weight decomposition (e.g., for  $V = U(\mathfrak{g})$  with  $\mathfrak{g}$  acting by left multiplication all weight subspaces are zero).

**Definition 8.3.** A vector  $v$  in  $V[\lambda]$  is called a **singular (or highest weight) vector of weight**  $\lambda$  if  $e_i v = 0$  for all  $i$ , i.e., if  $\mathfrak{n}_+ v = 0$ . A representation  $V$  of  $\mathfrak{g}$  is a **highest weight representation with highest weight**  $\lambda$  if it is generated by such a nonzero vector.

**8.2. Verma modules.** The **Verma module**  $M_\lambda$  is defined as “the largest highest weight module with highest weight  $\lambda$ ”. Namely, it is generated by a single highest weight vector  $v_\lambda$  with **defining relations**  $hv = \lambda(h)v$  for  $h \in \mathfrak{h}$  and  $e_i v = 0$ . More formally, we make the following definition.

**Definition 8.4.** Let  $I_\lambda \in U(\mathfrak{g})$  be the left ideal generated by the elements  $h - \lambda(h), h \in \mathfrak{h}$  and  $e_i, i = 1, \dots, r$ . Then the **Verma module**  $M_\lambda$  is the quotient  $U(\mathfrak{g})/I_\lambda$ .

In this realization, the highest weight vector  $v_\lambda$  is just the class of the unit 1 of  $U(\mathfrak{g})$ .

**Proposition 8.5.** *The map  $\phi : U(\mathfrak{n}_-) \rightarrow M_\lambda$  given by  $\phi(x) = xv_\lambda$  is an isomorphism of left  $U(\mathfrak{n}_-)$ -modules.*

*Proof.* By the PBW theorem, the multiplication map

$$\xi : U(\mathfrak{n}_-) \otimes U(\mathfrak{h} \oplus \mathfrak{n}_+) \rightarrow U(\mathfrak{g})$$

is a linear isomorphism. It is easy to see that  $\xi^{-1}(I_\lambda) = U(\mathfrak{n}_-) \otimes K_\lambda$ , where

$$K_\lambda := \sum_i U(\mathfrak{h} \oplus \mathfrak{n}_+)(h_i - \lambda(h_i)) + \sum_i U(\mathfrak{h} \oplus \mathfrak{n}_+)e_i$$

is the kernel of the homomorphism  $\chi_\lambda : U(\mathfrak{h} \oplus \mathfrak{n}_+) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  given by  $\chi_\lambda(h) = \lambda(h)$ ,  $h \in \mathfrak{h}$ ,  $\chi_\lambda(e_i) = 0$ . Thus, we have a natural isomorphism of left  $U(\mathfrak{n}_-)$ -modules

$$U(\mathfrak{n}_-) = U(\mathfrak{n}_-) \otimes U(\mathfrak{h} \oplus \mathfrak{n}_+)/K_\lambda \rightarrow M_\lambda,$$

as claimed.  $\square$

**Remark 8.6.** The definition of  $M_\lambda$  means that it is the **induced module**  $U(\mathfrak{g}) \otimes_{U(\mathfrak{h} \oplus \mathfrak{n}_+)} \mathbb{C}_\lambda$ , where  $\mathbb{C}_\lambda$  is the one-dimensional representation of  $\mathfrak{h} \oplus \mathfrak{n}_+$  on which it acts via  $\chi_\lambda$ .

**Corollary 8.7.**  *$M_\lambda$  has a weight decomposition with  $P(M_\lambda) = \lambda - Q_+$ ,  $\dim M_\lambda[\lambda] = 1$ , and weight subspaces of  $M_\lambda$  are finite dimensional.*

**Proposition 8.8.** (i) *If  $V$  is a representation of  $\mathfrak{g}$  and  $v \in V$  is a vector such that  $hv = \lambda(h)v$  for  $h \in \mathfrak{h}$  and  $e_i v = 0$  then there is a unique homomorphism  $\eta : M_\lambda \rightarrow V$  such that  $\eta(v_\lambda) = v$ . In particular, if  $V$  is generated by such  $v \neq 0$  (i.e.,  $V$  is a highest weight representation with highest weight vector  $v$ ) then  $V$  is a quotient of  $M_\lambda$ .*

(ii) *Every highest weight representation has a weight decomposition into finite dimensional weight subspaces.*

(iii) *Every highest weight representation  $V$  has a unique highest weight generator, up to scaling.*

*Proof.* (i) Uniqueness follows from the fact that  $v_\lambda$  generates  $M_\lambda$ . To construct  $\eta$ , note that we have a natural map of  $\mathfrak{g}$ -modules  $\tilde{\eta} : U(\mathfrak{g}) \rightarrow V$  given by  $\tilde{\eta}(x) = xv$ . Moreover,  $\tilde{\eta}|_{I_\lambda} = 0$  thanks to the relations satisfied by  $v$ , so  $\tilde{\eta}$  descends to a map  $\eta : U(\mathfrak{g})/I_\lambda = M_\lambda \rightarrow V$ . Moreover, if  $V$  is generated by  $v$  then this map is surjective, as desired.

(ii) This follows from (i) since a quotient of any representation with a weight decomposition must itself have a weight decomposition.

(iii) Suppose  $v, w$  are two highest weight generators of  $V$  of weights  $\lambda, \mu$ . If  $\lambda = \mu$  then they are proportional since  $\dim V[\lambda] \leq \dim M_\lambda[\lambda] = 1$ , as  $V$  is a quotient of  $M_\lambda$ . On the other hand, if  $\lambda \neq \mu$ , then we can assume without loss of generality that  $\lambda - \mu \notin Q_+$  (otherwise switch  $\lambda, \mu$ ). Then  $\mu \notin \lambda - Q_+$ , hence  $\mu \notin P(V)$ , a contradiction.  $\square$

### 8.3. Irreducible highest weight $\mathfrak{g}$ -modules.

**Proposition 8.9.** *For every  $\lambda \in \mathfrak{h}^*$ , the Verma module  $M_\lambda$  has a unique irreducible quotient  $L_\lambda$ . Moreover,  $L_\lambda$  is a quotient of every highest weight  $\mathfrak{g}$ -module  $V$  with highest weight  $\lambda$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $Y \subset M_\lambda$  be a proper submodule. Then  $Y$  has a weight decomposition, and cannot contain a nonzero multiple of  $v_\lambda$  (as otherwise  $Y = M_\lambda$ ), so  $P(Y) \subset (\lambda - Q_+) \setminus \{\lambda\}$ . Now let  $J_\lambda$  be the sum of all proper submodules  $Y \subset M_\lambda$ . Then  $P(J_\lambda) \subset (\lambda - Q_+) \setminus \{\lambda\}$ , so  $J_\lambda$  is also a proper submodule of  $M_\lambda$  (the maximal one). Thus,  $L_\lambda := M_\lambda/J_\lambda$  is an irreducible highest weight module with highest weight  $\lambda$ . Moreover, if  $V$  is any nonzero quotient of  $M_\lambda$  then the kernel  $K$  of the map  $M_\lambda \rightarrow V$  is a proper submodule, hence contained in  $J_\lambda$ . Thus the surjective map  $M_\lambda \rightarrow L_\lambda$  descends to a surjective map  $V \rightarrow L_\lambda$ . The kernel of this map is a proper submodule of  $V$ , hence zero if  $V$  is irreducible. Thus in the latter case  $V \cong L_\lambda$ .  $\square$

**Corollary 8.10.** *Irreducible highest weight  $\mathfrak{g}$ -modules are classified by their highest weight  $\lambda \in \mathfrak{h}^*$ , via the bijection  $\lambda \mapsto L_\lambda$ .*

**Exercise 8.11.** Let  $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{sl}_2$  with standard generators  $e, f, h$  and identify  $\mathfrak{h}^* \cong \mathbb{C}$  via  $\lambda \mapsto \lambda(h)$ . Show that  $M_\lambda$  is irreducible if  $\lambda \notin \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ , while for  $\lambda$  a nonnegative integer we have  $J_\lambda = M_{-\lambda-2}$ , so  $L_\lambda$  is the  $\lambda + 1$ -dimensional irreducible representation of  $\mathfrak{sl}_2$ .

It is known from the theory of finite dimensional representations of  $\mathfrak{g}$  that its irreducible finite dimensional representations are  $L_\lambda$  with  $\lambda \in P_+$ . Thus we have

**Proposition 8.12.**  *$L_\lambda$  is finite dimensional if and only if  $\lambda \in P_+$ .*

Note that the “only if” direction of this proposition follows immediately from Exercise 8.11.

### 8.4. Exercises.

**Exercise 8.13.** Let  $\mathfrak{g}$  be a finite dimensional simple complex Lie algebra, and  $V$  a finite dimensional representation of  $\mathfrak{g}$ . Let  $\lambda, \mu \in \mathfrak{h}^*$  be weights for  $\mathfrak{g}$ , and  $X, Y$  be representations of  $\mathfrak{g}$  with  $P(X) \subset \lambda - Q_+$ ,  $P(Y) \subset \mu - Q_+$ , and  $X[\lambda] = \mathbb{C}v_\lambda$ ,  $Y[\mu] = \mathbb{C}v_\mu$  for nonzero vectors

$v_\lambda, v_\mu$ . Given a linear map  $\Phi : X \rightarrow V \otimes Y$ , let the **expectation value** of  $\Phi$  be defined by

$$\langle \Phi \rangle := (\text{Id} \otimes v_\mu^*, \Phi v_\lambda) \in V$$

where  $v_\mu^* \in Y[\mu]^*$  is such that  $(v_\mu^*, v_\mu) = 1$ . In other words, we have

$$\Phi v_\lambda = \langle \Phi \rangle \otimes v_\mu + \text{lower terms}$$

where the lower terms have lower weight than  $\mu$  in the second component.

(i) Show that if  $\Phi$  is a homomorphism then  $\langle \Phi \rangle$  has weight  $\lambda - \mu$ .

(ii) Let  $M_\lambda$  be the Verma module with highest weight  $\lambda \in \mathfrak{h}^*$ , and  $\overline{M}_{-\mu}$  be the **lowest weight** Verma module with lowest weight  $-\mu$ , i.e., generated by a vector  $v_{-\mu}$  with defining relations  $h v_{-\mu} = -\mu(h) v_{-\mu}$  for  $h \in \mathfrak{h}$  and  $f_i v_{-\mu} = 0$ . Show that the map  $\Phi \mapsto \langle \Phi \rangle$  defines an isomorphism

$$\text{Hom}_{\mathfrak{g}}(M_\lambda, V \otimes \overline{M}_{-\mu}^*) \cong V[\lambda - \mu]$$

where  $*$  denotes the restricted dual (the direct sum of duals of all weight subspaces).

(iii) Let  $\lambda \in P_+$  and  $V[\nu]_\lambda$  be the subspace of vectors  $v \in V[\nu]$  of weight  $\nu$  which satisfy the equalities  $f_i^{(\lambda, \alpha_i^\vee)+1} v = 0$  for all  $i$ . Show that a map  $\Phi \in \text{Hom}_{\mathfrak{g}}(M_\lambda, V \otimes \overline{M}_{-\mu}^*)$  factors through  $L_\lambda$  iff  $\langle \Phi \rangle \in V[\lambda - \mu]_\lambda$ , i.e.,  $f_i^{(\lambda, \alpha_i^\vee)+1} \langle \Phi \rangle = 0$  (for this, use that  $e_j f_i^{(\lambda, \alpha_i^\vee)+1} v_\lambda = 0$ , and that the kernel of  $M_\lambda \rightarrow L_\lambda$  is generated by the vectors  $f_i^{(\lambda, \alpha_i^\vee)+1} v_\lambda$ ). Deduce that the map  $\Phi \mapsto \langle \Phi \rangle$  defines an isomorphism  $\text{Hom}_{\mathfrak{g}}(L_\lambda, V \otimes \overline{M}_{-\mu}^*) \cong V[\lambda - \mu]_\lambda$ .

(iv) Now let both  $\lambda, \mu$  be in  $P_+$ . Show that every homomorphism  $L_\lambda \rightarrow V \otimes \overline{M}_{-\mu}^*$  in fact lands in  $V \otimes L_\mu \subset V \otimes \overline{M}_{-\mu}^*$ . Deduce that the map  $\Phi \mapsto \langle \Phi \rangle$  defines an isomorphism

$$\text{Hom}_{\mathfrak{g}}(L_\lambda, V \otimes L_\mu) \cong V[\lambda - \mu]_\lambda.$$

(v) Let  $V = \mathbb{C}^n$  be the vector representation of  $SL_n(\mathbb{C})$ . Determine the weight subspaces of  $S^m V$ , and compute the decomposition of  $S^m V \otimes L_\mu$  into irreducibles for all  $\mu \in P_+$  (use (iv)).

(vi) For any  $\mathfrak{g}$ , compute the decomposition of  $\mathfrak{g} \otimes L_\mu$ ,  $\mu \in P_+$ , where  $\mathfrak{g}$  is the adjoint representation of  $\mathfrak{g}$  (again use (iv)).

In both (v) and (vi) you should express the answer in terms of the numbers  $k_i$  such that  $\mu = \sum_i k_i \omega_i$  and the Cartan matrix entries of  $\mathfrak{g}$ .

**Exercise 8.14.** (D. N. Verma) (i) Let  $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{n}_- \oplus \mathfrak{h} \oplus \mathfrak{n}_+$  be a finite dimensional simple complex Lie algebra, and  $\lambda, \mu \in \mathfrak{h}^*$ . Show that every nonzero homomorphism  $M_\mu \rightarrow M_\lambda$  is injective. (Use that  $U(\mathfrak{n}_-)$

has no zero divisors). Deduce that if  $M_\lambda$  is reducible then there exists  $\lambda' \in \lambda - Q_+$ ,  $\lambda' \neq \lambda$  with  $M_{\lambda'} \subset M_\lambda$ .

(ii) Show that for every  $\lambda \in \mathfrak{h}^*$  there is  $\lambda' \in \lambda - Q_+$  with  $M_{\lambda'} \subset M_\lambda$  and  $M_{\lambda'}$  irreducible. (Assume the contrary and construct an infinite sequence of proper inclusions

$$\dots M_{\lambda_2} \subset M_{\lambda_1} \subset M_\lambda.$$

Then derive a contradiction by looking at the eigenvalues of the quadratic Casimir  $C \in U(\mathfrak{g})$ ).

(iii) Show that if  $M_\mu$  is irreducible then  $\dim \text{Hom}_{\mathfrak{g}}(M_\mu, M_\lambda) \leq 1$ . (Look at the growth of the dimensions of weight subspaces).

(iv) Show that  $\dim \text{Hom}_{\mathfrak{g}}(M_\mu, M_\lambda) \leq 1$  for any  $\lambda, \mu \in \mathfrak{h}^*$ . (Look at the restriction of a homomorphism  $M_\mu \rightarrow M_\lambda$  to  $M_{\mu'} \subset M_\mu$  which is irreducible).

**Exercise 8.15.** (i) Keep the notation of Exercise 8.14. Let  $\lambda \in \mathfrak{h}^*$  be such that  $(\lambda, \alpha_i^\vee) = n - 1$  for a positive integer  $n$  and simple root  $\alpha_i$ . Show that there is an inclusion  $M_{\lambda - n\alpha_i} \hookrightarrow M_\lambda$ .

(ii) Let  $\rho$  be the sum of fundamental weights of  $\mathfrak{g}$  and  $W$  be the Weyl group of  $\mathfrak{g}$ . For  $w \in W$ ,  $\lambda \in \mathfrak{h}^*$  let  $w \bullet \lambda := w(\lambda + \rho) - \rho$  (the **shifted action** of  $W$ ). Deduce from (i) that if  $\lambda \in P_+$  then for every  $w \in W$ , there is an inclusion  $\iota_w : M_{w \bullet \lambda} \hookrightarrow M_\lambda$ , and that if  $w = w_1 w_2$  with  $\ell(w) = \ell(w_1) + \ell(w_2)$  (where  $\ell(w)$  is the length of  $w$ ) then  $\iota_w$  factors through  $\iota_{w_2}$ . In particular, we have an inclusion  $M_{w \bullet \lambda} \hookrightarrow M_{w_2 \bullet \lambda}$ .

(iii) Show that  $M_\lambda$  is irreducible unless  $(\lambda + \rho, \alpha^\vee) = 1$  for some  $\alpha \in Q_+ \setminus 0$ , where  $\alpha^\vee := \frac{2\alpha}{(\alpha, \alpha)}$  (look at the eigenvalues of the quadratic Casimir).

(iv) For  $\beta \in Q_+$  define the **Kostant partition function**  $K(\beta)$  to be the number of unordered representations of  $\beta$  as a sum of positive roots of  $\mathfrak{g}$  (thus  $K(\beta) = \dim U(\mathfrak{n}_+)[\beta]$ ). Also define the **Shapovalov pairing**

$$B_\beta(\lambda) : U(\mathfrak{n}_+)[\beta] \times U(\mathfrak{n}_-)[- \beta] \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$$

by the formula

$$xyv_\lambda = B_\beta(\lambda)(x, y)v_\lambda,$$

where  $x \in U(\mathfrak{n}_+)[\beta]$ ,  $y \in U(\mathfrak{n}_-)[- \beta]$ , and  $v_\lambda$  is the highest weight vector of  $M_\lambda$ . Let

$$D_\beta(\lambda) := \det B_\beta(\lambda),$$

the determinant of the matrix of  $B_\beta(\lambda)$  in some bases of  $U(\mathfrak{n}_+)[\beta]$ ,  $U(\mathfrak{n}_-)[- \beta]$ . This is a (non-homogeneous) polynomial in  $\lambda$  well defined up to scaling.

Show that the leading term of  $D_\beta$  is

$$D_\beta^0(\lambda) = \text{const} \cdot \prod_{\alpha \in R_+} (\lambda, \alpha^\vee)^{\sum_{n \geq 1} K(\beta - n\alpha)}.$$

(Hint: show that the leading term comes from the product of the diagonal entries of the matrix of the Shapovalov pairing in the PBW bases).

(v) Show that

$$D_\beta(\lambda) = \text{const} \cdot \prod_{\alpha \in Q_+ \setminus 0} ((\lambda + \rho, \alpha^\vee) - 1)^{m_\alpha}$$

for some nonnegative integers  $m_\alpha = m_\alpha(\beta)$ . Then use (iv) to show that moreover  $m_\alpha = 0$  unless  $\alpha$  is a multiple of a positive root.

(vi) Let  $V, U$  be finite dimensional vector spaces over a field  $k$  of dimension  $n$  and  $B(t) : V \times U \rightarrow k[[t]]$  be a bilinear form. Denote by  $V_0 \subset V, U_0 \subset U$  the left and right kernels of  $B(0)$ . Suppose that  $B'(0)$  is a perfect pairing  $V_0 \times U_0 \rightarrow k$ . Show that the vanishing order of  $\det B(t)$  at  $t = 0$  (computed with respect to any bases of  $V, U$ ) equals  $\dim V_0 = \dim U_0$ . (*Hint:* Pick a basis  $e_1, \dots, e_m$  of  $V_0$ , complete it to a basis  $e_1, \dots, e_n$  of  $V$ . Choose vectors  $f_{m+1}, \dots, f_n \in U$  such that  $B(0)(e_i, f_j) = \delta_{ij}$  for  $m < i, j \leq n$ . Let  $f_1, \dots, f_m$  be the basis  $U_0$  dual to  $e_1, \dots, e_m$  with respect to  $B'(0)$ . Show that  $\{f_i\}$  is a basis of  $U$  and the determinant of  $B(t)$  in the bases  $\{e_i\}, \{f_i\}$  equals  $t^m + O(t^{m+1})$ .)

(vii) Show that if  $\lambda$  is generic on the hyperplane  $(\lambda + \rho, \alpha^\vee) = n$  for  $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$  and  $\alpha \in R_+$  and  $m_{n\alpha}(\beta) > 0$  then  $M_\lambda$  contains an irreducible submodule  $M_{\lambda - n\alpha}$  and the quotient  $M_\lambda / M_{\lambda - n\alpha}$  is irreducible. (Use Casimir eigenvalues to show that the only irreducible modules which could occur in the composition series of  $M_\lambda$  are  $L_\lambda$  and  $L_{\lambda - n\alpha}$  and apply Exercise 8.14).

(viii) Let  $\lambda$  be as in (vii) and let  $B(\beta, t) := B_\beta(\lambda + t\alpha)$ . Show that  $B(\beta, t)$  satisfies the assumption of (vi) for all  $\beta$ .

**Hint:** Use that  $\oplus_\beta \text{Ker} B(\beta, 0)$  is naturally identified with  $M_{\lambda - n\alpha}$  and  $B'(\beta, 0)$  restricts on it to a multiple of its Shapovalov form, and show that one has  $B'_{n\alpha}(0)(v_{\lambda - n\alpha}, v_{\lambda - n\alpha}) \neq 0$ . For the latter, assume the contrary and show that there exists a homogeneous lift  $u$  of  $v_{\lambda - n\alpha}$  modulo  $t^2$  such that  $B_{n\alpha}(t)(u, w) = 0$  modulo  $t^2$  for all  $w$  of weight  $\lambda + (t - n)\alpha$ . Deduce that  $e_i u$  vanishes modulo  $t^2$  for all  $i$ . Conclude that

$$Cu = ((\lambda + (t - n)\alpha + \rho)^2 - \rho^2)u + O(t^2)$$

and derive a contradiction with

$$Cu = ((\lambda + t\alpha + \rho)^2 - \rho^2)u.$$

(ix) Deduce that  $m_{n\alpha}(\beta) = K(\beta - n\alpha)$ ; in particular, in general  $m_{n\alpha}(\beta) \leq K(\beta - n\alpha)$ .

(x) Prove the **Shapovalov determinant formula**:

$$D_\beta(\lambda) = \prod_{\alpha \in R_+} \prod_{n \geq 1} ((\lambda + \rho, \alpha^\vee) - n)^{K(\beta - n\alpha)}$$

up to scaling.

(xi) Determine all  $\lambda \in \mathfrak{h}^*$  for which  $M_\lambda$  is irreducible.

MIT OpenCourseWare  
<https://ocw.mit.edu>

## 18.757 Representations of Lie Groups

Fall 2023

For information about citing these materials or our Terms of Use, visit: <https://ocw.mit.edu/terms>.