

## 11. Proof of the CST theorem, part I

**11.1. Proof of the CST theorem, part I, the “if” direction.** We first need a lemma from invariant theory. Let  $G \subset GL(V)$  be a finite subgroup, and  $I \subset \mathbb{C}[V]$  be the ideal generated by positive degree elements of  $\mathbb{C}[V]^G$ . Let  $f_1, \dots, f_r \in \mathbb{C}[V]^G$  be homogeneous generators of  $I$  (which exist by the Hilbert basis theorem).

**Lemma 11.1.** *The algebra  $\mathbb{C}[V]^G$  is generated by  $f_1, \dots, f_r$ ; in particular, it is finitely generated.*

*Proof.* We need to show that every homogeneous  $f \in \mathbb{C}[V]^G$  is a polynomial of  $f_1, \dots, f_r$ . The proof is by induction in  $d = \deg f$ . The base  $d = 0$  is obvious. If  $d > 0$ , we have  $f \in I$ , so

$$f = s_1 f_1 + \dots + s_r f_r$$

where  $s_i \in \mathbb{C}[V]$  are homogeneous of degrees  $< d$ .

For  $h \in \mathbb{C}[V]$  let  $h^* := \frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{g \in G} gh \in \mathbb{C}[V]^G$  be the  $G$ -average of  $h$ . Then we have

$$f = s_1^* f_1 + \dots + s_r^* f_r.$$

But by the induction assumption,  $s_i^*$  are polynomials of  $f_1, \dots, f_r$ , which proves the lemma.  $\square$

**Remark 11.2.** Let  $A$  be a finitely generated commutative  $\mathbb{C}$ -algebra with an action of a finite group  $G$ . Lemma 11.1 implies that the algebra  $A^G$  is also finitely generated (the **Hilbert-Noether lemma**). Indeed, pick generators  $a_1, \dots, a_m$  of  $A$  and let  $V \subset A$  be the (finite dimensional)  $G$ -submodule generated by them. Then  $A^G$  is a quotient of  $(SV)^G = \mathbb{C}[V^*]^G$ , which is finitely generated by Lemma 11.1.

The next lemma establishes a special property of algebras of invariants of complex reflection groups which will allow us to prove that they are polynomial algebras.

**Lemma 11.3.** *Assume that  $G$  is a complex reflection group. Let  $I$  be as above,  $F_1, \dots, F_m \in \mathbb{C}[V]^G$  be homogeneous, and suppose that  $F_1$  does not belong to the ideal in  $\mathbb{C}[V]^G$  generated by  $F_2, \dots, F_m$ . Suppose  $g_i \in \mathbb{C}[V]$  for  $1 \leq i \leq m$  are homogeneous and  $\sum_{i=1}^m g_i F_i = 0$ . Then  $g_1 \in I$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $J = (F_2, \dots, F_m) \subset \mathbb{C}[V]$ . We claim that  $F_1 \notin J$ . Indeed, if  $F_1 = s_2 F_2 + \dots + s_m F_m$  then  $F_1 = s_2^* F_2 + \dots + s_m^* F_m$ , contradicting our assumption.

We prove the lemma by induction in  $D := \deg g_1$ . If  $D = 0$  then  $g_1 = 0$ , as  $F_1 \notin J$ . This establishes the base of induction.

Now assume  $D > 0$ . Let  $\sigma \in G$  be a complex reflection and  $\alpha$  be the linear function on  $V$  defining the reflection hyperplane  $V^\sigma$  (i.e., the eigenvector of  $\sigma$  in  $V^*$  with eigenvalue  $\neq 1$ ). Then  $\sigma g_i - g_i$  vanishes on  $V^\sigma$ , so is divisible by  $\alpha$ . Thus

$$\sigma g_i - g_i = h_i \alpha$$

for some polynomials  $h_i$  with  $\deg h_i = \deg g_i - 1$ , in particular  $\deg h_1 = D - 1$ . Applying the operator  $\sigma - 1$  to the relation  $\sum_{i=1}^m g_i F_i = 0$  and dividing by  $\alpha$ , we obtain

$$\sum_{i=1}^m h_i F_i = 0.$$

By the induction assumption  $h_1 \in I$ , so  $\sigma g_1 - g_1 \in I$ . **Since  $W$  is generated by complex reflections**, this implies that  $w g_1 - g_1 \in I$  for any  $w \in G$ . Thus  $g_1^* - g_1 \in I$ . But  $g_1^*$  is a positive degree invariant, so  $g_1^* \in I$ . Hence  $g_1 \in I$ , which justifies the induction step.  $\square$

Now we are ready to prove the “if” direction of the Chevalley-Shephard-Todd theorem. Suppose that  $f_1, \dots, f_r \in \mathbb{C}[V]^G$  are homogeneous of positive degree and form a *minimal* set of homogeneous generators of  $I$ .

**Lemma 11.4.**  $f_1, \dots, f_r$  are algebraically independent.

*Proof.* Assume the contrary, i.e.,

$$(7) \quad h(f_1, \dots, f_r) = 0,$$

where  $h(y_1, \dots, y_r)$  is a nonzero polynomial. Let  $d_i := \deg f_i$ . We may assume that  $h$  is quasi-homogeneous (with  $\deg y_i = d_i$ ), of the lowest possible degree. Let  $x_k$  be linear coordinates on  $V$ ,  $\partial_k := \frac{\partial}{\partial x_k}$ . Differentiating (7) with respect to  $x_k$  and using the chain rule, we get

$$(8) \quad \sum_{j=1}^r h_j(\mathbf{f}) \partial_k f_j = 0,$$

where  $\mathbf{f} := (f_1, \dots, f_r)$  and  $h_j := \frac{\partial h}{\partial y_j}$ . By renumbering  $f_j$  if needed, we may assume that  $h_1(\mathbf{f}), \dots, h_m(\mathbf{f})$  is a minimal generating set of the ideal  $(h_1(\mathbf{f}), \dots, h_r(\mathbf{f})) \subset \mathbb{C}[V]$ . Moreover, since  $h$  is of lowest degree,  $h_i(\mathbf{f}) \neq 0$  for some  $i$ , so  $m \geq 1$ . Then for  $i > m$  we have

$$h_i(\mathbf{f}) = \sum_{j=1}^m g_{ij} h_j(\mathbf{f})$$

for some homogeneous polynomials  $g_{ij} \in \mathbb{C}[V]$  of degree

$$\deg h_i - \deg h_j = d_j - d_i.$$

Substituting this into (8), we get

$$\sum_{j=1}^m p_j h_j(\mathbf{f}) = 0,$$

where

$$p_j := \partial_k f_j + \sum_{i=m+1}^r g_{ij} \partial_k f_i.$$

Since  $h_1(\mathbf{f}) \notin (h_2(\mathbf{f}), \dots, h_m(\mathbf{f}))$ , by Lemma 11.3 applied to  $F_i = h_i(\mathbf{f})$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq m$ , we have  $p_1 \in I$ . Thus

$$\partial_k f_1 + \sum_{i=m+1}^r g_{i1} \partial_k f_i = \sum_{i=1}^r q_{ik} f_i,$$

where  $q_{ik} \in \mathbb{C}[V]$  are homogeneous of degree  $d_1 - d_i - 1$ . Let us multiply this equation by  $x_k$  and add over all  $k$ . Then we get

$$(9) \quad d_1 f_1 + \sum_{i=m+1}^r g_{i1} d_i f_i = \sum_{i=1}^r q_i f_i,$$

where  $q_i := \sum_k x_k q_{ik}$ . In particular,  $q_i$  are homogeneous of strictly positive degree. All terms in this equation are homogeneous of the same degree  $d_1$ , so we must have  $q_1 = 0$ . Thus (9) implies that  $f_1 \in (f_2, \dots, f_r)$ , a contradiction with our minimality assumption.  $\square$

Now, by Lemmas 11.4 and 11.1, we have  $\mathbb{C}[V]^G = \mathbb{C}[f_1, \dots, f_r]$ . This proves the “if” direction of the Chevalley-Shephard-Todd theorem.

**Remark 11.5.** Note that  $r = \text{trdeg}(\mathbb{C}(V)^G) = \text{trdeg}(\mathbb{C}(V)) = n$ , where  $n = \dim V$  and  $\text{trdeg}$  denotes the transcendence degree of a field, since transcendence degree does not change under finite extensions.

## 11.2. A lemma on group actions.

**Lemma 11.6.** *Let  $U$  be an affine space over  $\mathbb{C}$  and  $G$  a finite group acting on  $U$  by polynomial automorphisms.*

(i) *Let  $u \in U$  be a point with trivial stabilizer in  $G$ . Then there exists a local coordinate system on  $U$  near  $u$  consisting of elements of  $\mathbb{C}[U]^G$ .*

(ii) *Maximal ideals in  $\mathbb{C}[U]^G$  (i.e., characters  $\chi : \mathbb{C}[U]^G \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ ) are in bijection with  $G$ -orbits on  $U$ , which assigns to an orbit  $Gu$  the character  $\chi_u(f) := f(u)$ . Thus the set of maximal ideals in  $\mathbb{C}[U]^G$  is  $U/G$ .*

*Proof.* (i) Pick a basis  $\{e_i\}$  of  $T_u^*U$ . Since  $gu \neq u$  for any  $g \in G$ ,  $g \neq 1$ , there exist  $y_i \in \mathbb{C}[U]$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq \dim U$  such that the linear approximation of  $y_i$  at  $gu$  is zero for all  $g \neq 1$ ,  $y_i(u) = 0$ , and  $dy_i(u) = e_i$ . Let  $y_i^*$  be the average of  $y_i$  over  $G$ . Then  $\{y_i^*\}$  form a required coordinate system.

(ii) Suppose  $v, u \in U$ ,  $v \notin Gu$ , then  $Gu \cap Gv = \emptyset$ , so there exists  $f \in \mathbb{C}[U]$  such that  $f|_{Gv} = 0$ ,  $f|_{Gu} = 1$ . Moreover, by replacing  $f$  by  $f^*$ , we may choose such  $f \in \mathbb{C}[U]^G$ . Then  $\chi_v(f) = 0$  while  $\chi_u(f) = 1$ , so  $\chi_u \neq \chi_v$ , hence  $u \mapsto \chi_u$  is injective. To show that it's also surjective, take a maximal ideal  $\mathfrak{m} \subset \mathbb{C}[U]^G$ . It generates an ideal  $I \subset \mathbb{C}[U]$  whose projection to  $\mathbb{C}[U]^G$  is  $\mathfrak{m}$ . Thus  $I$  is a proper ideal, so by the Nullstellensatz, its zero set  $Z \subset U$  is non-empty. Let  $u \in Z$ , then for any  $f \in \mathfrak{m}$ ,  $\chi_u(f) = f(u) = 0$ . Hence  $\mathfrak{m} = \text{Ker} \chi_u$ , as desired.  $\square$

### 11.3. Proof of the CST theorem, part I, the “only if” direction.

<sup>15</sup>

Let  $G \subset GL(V)$  be a finite subgroup. Let  $H$  be the normal subgroup of  $G$  generated by the complex reflections of  $G$ . Then by the “if” part of the theorem,  $\mathbb{C}[V]^H$  is a polynomial algebra with an action of  $G/H$ . In other words, using Lemma 11.6(ii),  $U := V/H$  is an affine space with a (possibly non-linear) action of  $G/H$ .

Moreover, we claim that  $G/H$  acts freely on  $U$  outside of a set of codimension  $\geq 2$ . Indeed, if  $1 \neq s \in G/H$  then  $hs$  is not a reflection for any  $h \in H$ , so  $Y_s := \cup_{h \in H} V^{hs}$  has codimension  $\geq 2$ . Now, for any  $v$  in the preimage of  $U^s$  in  $V$  we have  $sv = h^{-1}v$  for some  $h \in H$ , thus  $hsv = v$  and  $v \in Y_s$ . Thus  $U^s$  is contained in the image of  $Y_s$  in  $U$ , hence  $\text{codim}(U^s) \geq 2$ , as claimed.

Now assume that  $\mathbb{C}[V]^G$  is a polynomial algebra, and let  $V/G = W$  be the corresponding affine space. Consider the natural regular map  $\eta : V/H = U \rightarrow V/G = W$  between  $n$ -dimensional affine spaces, and let  $J \in \mathbb{C}[U]$  be the Jacobian of this map (well defined up to scaling). If  $u \in U$  and the stabilizer of  $u$  in  $G/H$  is trivial then by Lemma 11.6,  $\eta$  is étale at  $u$ , hence  $J(u) \neq 0$ . But as shown above, the complement of such points has codimension  $\geq 2$ . This implies that  $J = \text{const}$ , as a nonconstant polynomial would vanish on a subset of codimension 1. Thus by the inverse function theorem  $\eta$  is an isomorphism near 0, in particular bijective, hence  $H = G$ .

**Remark 11.7.** Let  $X$  be a smooth affine algebraic variety over  $\mathbb{C}$  and  $G$  be a finite group of automorphisms of  $X$ . Then by the Hilbert-Noether lemma,  $\mathbb{C}[X]^G$  is finitely generated, so  $X/G := \text{Spec} \mathbb{C}[X]^G$  is an affine algebraic variety. The Chevalley-Shephard-Todd theorem

<sup>15</sup>This proof uses some very basic algebraic geometry.

implies that  $X/G$  is smooth at the image  $x^* \in X/G$  of  $x \in X$  if and only if the stabilizer  $G_x$  of  $x$  is a complex reflection group in  $GL(T_x X)$ . In particular,  $X/G$  is smooth iff all stabilizers are complex reflection groups. This follows from the **formal Cartan lemma**: any action of a finite group  $G$  on a formal polydisk  $D$  over a field of characteristic zero is equivalent to its linearization (i.e., to the action of  $G$  on the formal neighborhood of 0 in the tangent space to  $D$  at its unique geometric point).

MIT OpenCourseWare  
<https://ocw.mit.edu>

## 18.757 Representations of Lie Groups

Fall 2023

For information about citing these materials or our Terms of Use, visit: <https://ocw.mit.edu/terms>.