## Which of the following is true about chelating ligands and chelates?

- 1. A chelating ligand can bind to a metal with multiple points of attachment
- 2. A chelating ligand contains multiple Lewis acids
- 3. Chelates are thermodynamically unstable due to entropic effects
- 4. Both 1 and 3
- 5. All of the above

**Clicker Competition Today!** 

## Which of the following is true about chelating ligands and chelates?

- 38%
  - ✓ 1. A chelating ligand can bind to a metal with multiple points of attachment
- 11%
- 2. A chelating ligand contains multiple Lewis acids

4%

- 3. Chelates are thermodynamically unstable due to entropic effects
- 35%
- 4. Both 1 and 3

- 12%
- 5. All of the above

**Clicker Competition Today!** 

#### Which statement is correct?

- 1. Stable/unstable refers to reaction rate (the rate associated with the tendency to decompose)
- 2. Stable/unstable refers to  $\Delta G$  (the spontaneous tendency to decompose)
- 3. A chemist would say that a compound is stable if it is around for a long time before decomposing.
- 4. A chemist would say that a compound is stable if the  $\Delta G$  for its decomposition into its elements is a negative number.
- 5. 1 and 3
- 6. 2 and 4

#### Which statement is correct?

Stable/unstable refers to reaction rate (the rate 3% associated with the tendency to decompose) Stable/unstable refers to  $\Delta G$  (the spontaneous 52% tendency to decompose) A chemist would say that a compound is stable if it is 4% around for a long time before decomposing. 4. A chemist would say that a compound is stable if the  $\Delta G$  for its decomposition into its elements is a negative number. 5% 1 and 3

6. 2 and 4

35%

### Which statement is true for this reaction with hydrogen peroxide $IO_3^- + I^- + 2 H_2O_2 + 2H^+ \rightarrow 2 O_2 + 3 H_2O_1 + I_2$

- 1. I in IO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> is being **reduced** to I<sub>2</sub>; I<sup>-</sup> is being **oxidized** to I<sub>2</sub> O in H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> is being **oxidized** to O<sub>2</sub>; O in H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> is being **reduced** to H<sub>2</sub>O
- 2. I in IO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> is being **reduced** to I<sub>2</sub>; I<sup>-</sup> is being **reduced** to I<sub>2</sub> O in H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> is being **oxidized** to O<sub>2</sub>; O in H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> is being **oxidized** to H<sub>2</sub>O
- 3. I in IO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> is being **reduced** to I<sub>2</sub> and I<sup>-</sup> is being **oxidized** to I<sub>2</sub> O in H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> is being **oxidized** to O<sub>2</sub>; O in H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> is **not changing** oxidation states when forming H<sub>2</sub>O
- 4. I in  $IO_3^-$  is being **reduced** to  $I_2$  and  $I^-$  is being **oxidized** to  $I_2$  O is **not changing** oxidation states **at all**.

### Which statement is true for this reaction with hydrogen peroxide $IO_3^- + I^- + 2 H_2O_2 + 2H^+ \rightarrow 2 O_2 + 3 H_2O + I_2$

- 1. I in IO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> is being **reduced** to I<sub>2</sub>; I<sup>-</sup> is being **oxidized** to I<sub>2</sub>
  O in H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> is being **oxidized** to O<sub>2</sub>; O in H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> is being **reduced** to H<sub>2</sub>O
- 2. I in IO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> is being **reduced** to I<sub>2</sub>; I<sup>-</sup> is being **reduced** to I<sub>2</sub>
  O in H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> is being **oxidized** to O<sub>2</sub>; O in H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> is being **oxidized** to H<sub>2</sub>O
- I in IO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> is being **reduced** to I<sub>2</sub> and I<sup>-</sup> is being **oxidized** to I<sub>2</sub> O in H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> is being **oxidized** to O<sub>2</sub>; O in H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> is **not changing** oxidation states when forming H<sub>2</sub>O
  - 4. I in IO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> is being **reduced** to I<sub>2</sub> and I<sup>-</sup> is being **oxidized** to I<sub>2</sub>
    O is **not changing** oxidation states **at all**.

#### Predict which statement is true about $H_2O_2$ $H_2O_2 + 2 H^+ + 2e^- \rightarrow 2 H_2O$ $E^\circ = +1.78$

- 1. with a large (+)  $E^{\circ}$ ,  $H_2O_2$  would be a good oxidizing agent
- 2. with a large (+)  $E^{\circ}$ ,  $H_2O_2$  would be a good reducing agent
- 3. with a large (+)  $E^{\circ}$ , the reduction of  $H_2O_2$  would be spontaneous
- 4. with a large (+)  $E^{\circ}$ , the reduction of  $H_2O_2$  would be non-spontaneous
- 5. 1 and 3
- 6. 1 and 4
- 7. 2 and 3
- 8. 2 and 4

#### Predict which statement is true about $H_2O_2$ $H_2O_2 + 2 H^+ + 2e^- \rightarrow 2 H_2O$ $E^\circ = +1.78$

with a large (+)  $E^{\circ}$ ,  $H_2O_2$  would be a good oxidizing agent 9% with a large (+)  $E^{\circ}$ ,  $H_2O_2$  would be a good reducing agent 4% with a large (+)  $E^{\circ}$ , the reduction of  $H_2O_2$  would be spontaneous 4% with a large (+)  $E^{\circ}$ , the reduction of  $H_2O_2$  would be non-0% spontaneous 65%(\*\*) 1 and 3 6% 1 and 4 2 and 3 7%

8. 2 and 4

4%

## Select the correct rate expression for $2HI(g) \rightarrow H_2(g) + I_2(g)$

1. rate = 
$$-d[HI]/dt = -d[H_2]/dt = -d[I_2]/dt$$

2. rate = 
$$-d[HI]/dt = d[H_2]/dt = d[I_2]/dt$$

3. rate = 
$$-\frac{1}{2} d[HI]/dt = d[H_2]/dt = d[I_2]/dt$$

4. rate = 
$$-2d[HI]/dt = -d[H_2]/dt = -d[I_2]/dt$$

5. rate = 
$$-2d[HI]/dt = d[H_2]/dt = d[I_2]/dt$$

## Select the correct rate expression for $2HI(g) \rightarrow H_2(g) + I_2(g)$

$$rate = k[A]^m[B]^n$$

For m = 2, If you triple the concentration of [A], you

- 1. triple the rate.
- 2. Increase the rate by order of 5.
- 3. increase the rate by an order of 6.
- 4. increase the rate by an order of 9.

$$rate = k[A]^m[B]^n$$

For m = 2, If you triple the concentration of [A], you

- 2% 1. triple the rate.
- 1% 2. Increase the rate by order of 5.
- 3. increase the rate by an order of 6.
- 91% 4. increase the rate by an order of 9.

$$rate = k[A]^m[B]^n$$

For m = -1/2, If you double the concentration of [A], you multiply the rate by

- 1. 0.5 times
- 2. -0.5 times
- 3. 0.7 times
- 4. -0.7 times

$$rate = k[A]^m[B]^n$$

For m = -1/2, If you double the concentration of [A], you multiply the rate by

1. 0.5 times

2. -0.5 times

78% **3**. 0.7 times

4. -0.7 times

# For the same material, does it take longer for 1 ton to go to ½ ton or for 1 gram to go to ½ gram?

- 1. It takes longer to go from 1 gram to ½ gram
- 2. It takes longer to go from 1 ton to  $\frac{1}{2}$  ton
- 3. The conversion times are equal.

# For the same material, does it take longer for 1 ton to go to ½ ton or for 1 gram to go to ½ gram?

- 1. It takes longer to go from 1 gram to ½ gram
- 2. It takes longer to go from 1 ton to  $\frac{1}{2}$  ton
- 33% 3. The conversion times are equal.

MIT OpenCourseWare http://ocw.mit.edu

5.111 Principles of Chemical Science Fall 2014

For information about citing these materials or our Terms of Use, visit: http://ocw.mit.edu/terms.